Radiative neutrino mass in 3-3-1 scheme

Sofiane M. Boucenna,[1,*] Stefano Morisi,[2,†] and José W.F. Valle[1,‡]

[1] *Instituto de Física Corpuscular (CSIC-Universitat de València), Apdo. 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain.*
[2] *DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15735 Zeuthen, Germany.*

(Dated: September 11, 2014)

We propose a new radiative mechanism for neutrino mass generation based on the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(3)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_X$ electroweak gauge group. Lepton number is a symmetry of the Yukawa sector but spontaneously broken in the gauge sector. As a result light Majorana masses arise from neutral gauge boson exchange at the one-loop level. In addition to the isosinglet neutrinos which may be produced at the LHC through the extended gauge boson *portals*, the model contains new quarks which can also lie at the TeV scale and provide a plethora of accessible collider phenomena.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 12.60.Cn, 14.60.St, 14.70.Pw, 12.15.Ff

arXiv:1405.2332v3 [hep-ph] 10 Sep 2014

The origin of neutrino mass and mixing, required in order to account for neutrino oscillation data [1, 2], poses one of the biggest challenges in particle physics. While charged fermions must be Dirac particles, neutrinos are generally expected to be Majorana fermions [3], breaking lepton number and inducing neutrinoless double beta decay [4]. While attractive, the idea that neutrino mass is related to unification, encoded in the high-scale seesaw paradigm [3, 5–8] falls short of covering the wealth of interesting neutrino mass schemes. Indeed neutrino masses could well be a low-scale phenomenon, both within the seesaw mechanism as well as other alternative approaches [9]. This brings in substantial freedom in model building, making the structure of the leptonic weak interaction much richer than the CKM matrix [10, 11] characterizing the quark sector, and opening the exciting possibility of probing the associated neutrino mass messenger particles at collider experiments [12–14].

Lepton number symmetry provides an important theoretical guide in neutrino mass modeling, depending on its fate different classes of models can be envisaged. For instance, lepton number can be conserved, leading to Dirac neutrinos. Or it can be violated explicitly, since gauge singlet Majorana masses can be added by hand in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ model [3]. Or it can be a spontaneously broken global or gauged U(1) symmetry. The former defines the so-called seesaw majoron schemes [15, 16], while the latter characterizes left-right symmetric electroweak models [17]. Another important challenge is the origin of the number of families. We know that three different flavors exist, i.e. states with the same gauge quantum numbers but different mass. But we do not know why nature replicates, nor why the masses of the three generations of Standard Model quarks and leptons are so different, nor why they mix in the way they do (flavor problem).

In this paper we consider an alternative approach to neutrino mass generation at accessible scales and "explaining" the number of families. The model is based on the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(3)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_X$ (3-3-1) electroweak gauge structure and is consistent only if the number of families equals the number of quark colors [18, 19], giving a reason for having three species of fermions. This feature follows from gauge anomaly cancellation and characterizes 331 models, including other variants e.g. [20–25].

Our new mechanism for generating neutrino mass at the one-loop level involves a neutral gauge-mediated lepton number-violating interaction. All new particles, including the messengers associated to neutrino mass generation can lie at the few TeV scale, hence accessible to collider searches.

We start from the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(3)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_X$ gauge framework suggested in [18, 19]. We concentrate on the electroweak part of the model. The left-handed leptons are assigned to the anti-triplet representation of $SU(3)_L$

$$\psi_L^{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell^- \\ \nu_\ell \\ N_\ell^c \end{pmatrix}_L , \qquad (1)$$

where $\ell = 1, 2, 3 \equiv e,\ \mu,\ \tau$. In addition to the new two-component neutral fermions present in the lepton triplet $N_L^c \equiv (N^c)_L \equiv (\nu_R)^c$ where $\psi^c = C\overline{\psi}^T$ and C is the charge conjugation matrix, we introduce new sequential lepton-number-carrying gauge singlets $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$ sequentially [26–30]. The matter content of the model is summarized in Tab. (I).

	ψ_L^{ℓ}	ℓ_R	$Q_L^{1,2}$	Q_L^3	$\hat{u}_R$	$\hat{d}_R$	S	ϕ_1	ϕ_2	ϕ_3
$SU(3)_c$	**1**	**1**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**
$SU(3)_L$	$\mathbf{3}^*$	**1**	**3**	$\mathbf{3}^*$	**1**	**1**	**1**	$\mathbf{3}^*$	$\mathbf{3}^*$	$\mathbf{3}^*$
$U(1)_X$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	-1	0	$+\frac{1}{3}$	$+\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0	$+\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$
$\mathcal{L}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	-1	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$+\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	1	$+\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$	$+\frac{2}{3}$

TABLE I: Matter content of the model, where $\hat{u}_R \equiv (u_R, c_R, t_R, t'_R)$ and $\hat{d}_R \equiv (d_R, s_R, b_R, d'_R, s'_R)$ (see text).

With the above $\mathcal{L}$ assignment the electric charge and lepton number are given in terms of the $U(1)_X$ generator

X and the diagonal generators of the $SU(3)_L$ as

$$Q = T_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} T_8 + X \quad , \tag{2}$$

$$L = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} T_8 + \mathcal{L} \quad . \tag{3}$$

In order to spontaneously break the weak gauge symmetry, we introduce three scalar anti-triplets $\phi_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}^*, +2/3)$ and $\phi_{2,3} \sim (\mathbf{3}^*, -1/3)$. Note that the third component of ϕ_3 carries two units of lepton number. Following the notation of [19] we have the following vacuum expectation values (VEVs)

$$\langle \phi_1 \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \langle \phi_2 \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix}, \langle \phi_3 \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k_2 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where the k_1 and k_2 VEVs are at the electroweak scale and correspond to the VEV of the $SU(2)_L \subset SU(3)_L$ doublets. The VEVs n_1 and n_2 are isosinglet VEVs that characterize the $SU(3)_L$ breaking scale. Note that while ϕ_3 takes VEV in both electrically neutral directions, the second VEV of ϕ_2 is neglected, so that lepton number is broken only by $SU(2)_L$ singlets. This pattern gives the simplest consistent neutrino mass spectrum, avoiding the linear seesaw contribution [31, 32].

The kinetic term for the scalar fields is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm Kin} = \sum_i (D^\mu \phi_i)^\dagger (D_\mu \phi_i) \, . \tag{5}$$

We define the covariant derivative as

$$D_\mu \phi_i = \partial_\mu \phi_i + i \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{W}_\mu . \phi_i + i \sqrt{2} g_2 X_i \, \mathbf{B}_\mu \, \phi_i \, , \tag{6}$$

where X_i is the $U(1)_X$ charge of the ϕ_i scalar field, $\mathbf{B}_\mu$ is the gauge boson of $U(1)_X$ and $\mathbf{W}_\mu \equiv \sum_i W^i_\mu \lambda_i$ where λ_i are the Gell-Mann matrices. In matrix form one has

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} W^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} W^8 & W^+_{12} & W^+_{45} \\ W^-_{12} & -W^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} W^8 & W^6 - i W^7 \\ W^-_{45} & W^6 + i W^7 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} W^8 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where for simplicity we dropped the index μ from the gauge bosons and defined the charged states as

$$W^\pm_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W^1 \mp i W^2) \, , \quad W^\pm_{45} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W^4 \mp i W^5) \, . \tag{7}$$

There are in total nine electroweak gauge bosons, four of which are charged ($W^\pm_{12}$ and $W^\pm_{45}$), giving the physical $W^\pm$ and $W'^\pm$, while five are electrically neutral, namely W^3, W^6, W^8, B giving the physical bosons

$$\gamma \, , \quad Z \, , \quad Z' \, , \quad X \tag{8}$$

and finally one neutral boson, unmixed if CP is conserved

$$W^7 \equiv Y \, . \tag{9}$$

Assuming for simplicity $k_2 \sim k_1 \ll n_1 \sim n_2$ one finds the gauge boson masses to leading order

$$\begin{aligned} m^2_W &= g_1^2 \, (k_1^2 + \frac{k_2^2 n_1^2}{n_1^2 + n_2^2}) \, , \\ m^2_{W'} &= g_1^2 (n_1^2 + n_2^2) \, , \\ m^2_Z &= \frac{g_1^2 (3 g_1^2 + 4 g_2^2)}{3 g_1^2 + {g_2}^2} \, (k_1^2 + \frac{k_2^2 n_1^2}{n_1^2 + n_2^2}) \, , \\ m^2_{Z'} &= \frac{4}{9} (3 g_1^2 + g_2^2)(n_1^2 + n_2^2) \, , \\ m^2_X &= m^2_Y = g_1^2 (n_1^2 + n_2^2) . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The ratio of the SM gauge bosons masses is given by

$$\frac{m^2_W}{m^2_Z} = \frac{3 + \tan^2 \theta_{331}}{3 + 4 \tan^2 \theta_{331}} \, , \tag{11}$$

where $\tan^2 \theta_{331} \equiv g_2 / g_1$. The SM relation $\cos^2 \theta_W \equiv m^2_W / m^2_Z = 0.76$ implies that $\tan^2 \theta_{331} = 0.57$. The leptonic neutral current weak interaction $\mathcal{L}_{NC}$ contains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{NC} \quad \supset & \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\nu_L} \, \gamma_\mu \, \nu_L W^3_\mu - \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{6}} \overline{\nu_L} \, \gamma_\mu \, \nu_L W^8_\mu \\ & - \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{N^c_L} \, \gamma_\mu \, \nu_L \, W^6_\mu + \frac{\sqrt{2} g_2}{3} \overline{\nu_L} \, \gamma_\mu \, \nu_L B_\mu \, , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The mixing of W^6 with W^3, W^8 and B is proportional to the small parameter given by

$$\epsilon \sim \frac{k_2 n_2}{n_1^2 + n_2^2} \ll 1 \, . \tag{13}$$

In addition to breaking the standard electroweak symmetry, this mixing also violates lepton number by two units as can be readily seen through its proportionality to n_2 which is the scale of lepton number violation. The spontaneous symmetry breaking follows the pattern

$$SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \xrightarrow{n_{1,2}} SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \xrightarrow{k_{1,2}} U(1)_Q .$$

Turning to the lepton sector, the Yukawa terms are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rm leptons} = & \; y^\ell_{ij} \overline{\psi^i_L} \, l^j_R \, \phi_1 + y^a_{ij} \, \psi^{iT}_L C^{-1} \psi^j_L \, \phi_1 \\ & + y^s_{ij} \, \overline{\psi^i_L} \, S^j \, \phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where contraction of the flavor indices $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ is assumed. Here y^ℓ and y^s are arbitrary matrices while y^a is antisymmetric. The charged lepton mass matrix is just $M_\ell = y^\ell \langle \phi^0_1 \rangle$ and can be made diagonal in the usual way. Note that, thanks to an auxiliary parity symmetry, ϕ_3 does not couple to leptons. The tree level neutrino mass matrix in the basis (ν_L, N^c, S) is given by

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D & 0 \\ & 0 & M \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{15}$$



FIG. 1: Gauge boson exchange diagram for radiatively induced Majorana neutrino mass in the flavor basis.

where $m_D = k_1\, y^a$, and $M = n_1\, y^s$. Note that lepton number conservation forbids the Majorana mass entry for S. We denote the corresponding eigenstates as ν_1, ν_2, ν_3. The heavy states form Dirac pairs with masses M_{D_i} $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ given by

$$M_{Di} = (\sqrt{m_D \cdot {m_D}^T + M \cdot M^T})_i\,, \qquad (16)$$

where the index i in the r.h.s denotes the i^{th} eigenvalue of the matrix [26–30]. On the other hand the state ν_1 is massless because of lepton number conservation in Eq. (14). This holds at tree level. However lepton number is broken spontaneously by $n_2 \neq 0$. This induces light neutrino masses radiatively, as illustrated by the diagram in Fig. (1). In order to estimate the effective light neutrino mass scale which results from Eq. (15), we adopt for simplicity the one family approximation (generalization to three is straightforward). Indeed, the interplay of the intra-multiplet gauge boson exchange connecting ν to N^c with the gauge boson mixing implicit in Eq. (13) implies that lepton number is necessarily violated in the neutral fermion sector. As a result the massless neutrino is not protected and radiative corrections involving the gauge bosons will yield a *calculable* Majorana mass term as depicted in the diagram of Fig. (1). To perform the corresponding estimate one goes to the mass basis. The result is that the light neutrino ν_1 gets a Majorana mass by means of the exchange of the massive Dirac states $\nu_{2,3}$ and the gauge bosons Z and Z'. Now we describe in more detail how this works.

Consider the 3×3 mass matrix given in Eq. (15). This matrix is diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix, given by a $1-3$ rotation followed by a $2-3$ maximal rotation

$$U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} c & -\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -s & -\frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (17)$$

where $c \approx 1$ and $s \equiv \beta \simeq m_D/M \ll 1$ characterizes the doublet-singlet mixing, which could be at most a few percent due to universality constraints. Then the states ν_L, N_L^c, S are related to the massive neutrino states ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 by (up to corrections of order ϵ)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_L &\simeq \nu_1 - \beta\,\nu_2 + \beta\,\nu_3\,; \\ N_L^c &\simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_2 + \nu_3)\,; \\ S &\simeq -\beta\,\nu_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\nu_2 + \nu_3)\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (18)$$

Although both Z and Z' enter in the loop, the main contribution is from Z' exchange, estimated from Eq. (18) and the diagonalization of the gauge bosons to be

$$m_{\nu_{\rm light}} \simeq \frac{g^2\,\epsilon\,\beta}{16\pi^2} M_D \frac{m_{Z'}^2}{M_D^2 + m_{Z'}^2} \log \frac{m_{Z'}^2}{M_D^2}\,, \qquad (19)$$

where g is a simple function of gauge coupling constrants g_1 and g_2. Note that the contribution proportional to ϵ^2 and β^2 vanish as expected.



FIG. 2: Neutrino mass versus Z' scale for various values of the Dirac mass parameter M_D. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed lines correspond to $y^a = 1$, 10^{-3} and 10^{-5} respectively. $g_1 = 0.6$ and $k_2 = 90\,$GeV and the scale of the new colored states (n_2) is fixed at 1 TeV (thick lines) and 10 TeV (thin lines).

Fig. (2) shows the correlation between the light neutrino mass scale and the Z' mass for various values of the Dirac mass M_D, parametrized by the Yukawa coupling y^a. For definiteness we fix the n_2 VEV, responsible for the masses of the new iso-singlet colored states at 1 TeV and 10 TeV. Increasing n_2 would push up the Z' mass and, assuming Yukawas of order one, would increase the exotic (primed) quark masses.

This brings us to the discussion of the quark sector. The two first generations Q_L^1 and Q_L^2 transform as triplets of $SU(3)_L$ whereas the third one Q_L^3 is anti-triplet:

$$Q_L^1 = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ d' \end{pmatrix}_L\,, Q_L^2 = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \\ s' \end{pmatrix}_L\,, Q_L^3 = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ t \\ t' \end{pmatrix}_L\,. \qquad (20)$$

All right-handed states are $SU(3)_L$ singlets. In order to pair up the new left handed fields of the quark sector, we introduce extra right handed fields d'_R, s'_R and t'_R that are singlets of $SU(3)_L$. Note that the axial anomaly cancels in this model because we have an equal number of triplets and anti-triplets and the sum of the electric charges on all the fermions vanishes [33].

The Yukawa Lagrangian of the quark sector is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{quarks}} &= y^u_{\alpha,i}\,\overline{Q^\alpha_L}\,\hat{u}^i_R\,\phi^*_1 + y^u_{3,i}\,\overline{Q^3_L}\,\hat{u}^i_R\,\phi_3 \\ &+ y^d_{3,i}\,\overline{Q^3_L}\,\hat{d}^i_R\,\phi_1 + y^d_{\alpha,i}\,\overline{Q^\alpha_L}\,\hat{d}^i_R\,\phi^*_3 + \text{h.c.} \quad (21)\end{aligned}$$

and α=1,2. Contractions over i and α indices are assumed. The up (y^u) and down (y^d) quark mass matrices are respectively 3×4 and 3×5. Note that we made use of the same auxiliary parity symmetry to charge Q^3_L and $\hat{d}_R$. After spontaneous symmetry breaking, the top mass is proportional to k_2 while the bottom mass is proportional to k_1. Hence k_1 and k_2 determine the electroweak scale. On the other hand, the masses of the extra quarks d', s', t' are proportional to n_1 and n_2 which must be of the order of TeV or greater in order to escape detection at the LHC. Here we note a novel feature of this model, namely, that while the extra quarks have standard electric charges, they carry two units of lepton number, relating them directly to the lepton sector.

In summary, we have proposed a new mechanism to generate neutrino mass based on the $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry. At tree level neutrinos are massless because of lepton number conservation. Gauge interactions violate lepton number and lead to a Majorana mass term for light neutrinos at one-loop level. In contrast to most neutrino mass generation schemes, such as the seesaw mechanism, where the neutrino mass comes from Yukawa couplings, here it arises directly from gauge boson exchange as seen in Fig. (1) and Eq. (19). All neutrino species are massive, and their splittings and mixing parameters can be fitted to the oscillation data. The further imposition of genuine flavor symmetries would bring in predictions for oscillation parameters and possibly $0\nu\beta\beta$ though we leave this for a separate investigation. Note that the messenger particles responsible for neutrino mass can be directly produced at the LHC: the Z' would de produced in Drell-Yan process and provide a "portal" to access the isosinglet neutral leptons [12]. Moreover, if light enough, the new exotic colored states would also be produced at the LHC and induce gauge-mediated flavor-changing neutral currents, e.g. $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ [34] providing a double test. These issues will be taken up elsewhere.

We thank Martin Hirsch and Renato Fonseca for useful discussions. The work of SB and JV was supported by MINECO grants FPA2011-22975 and Multidark Consolider CSD2009-00064. SM thanks DFG grant WI 2639/4-1.

* Electronic address: boucenna@ific.uv.es
† Electronic address: stefano.morisi@gmail.com
‡ Electronic address: valle@ific.uv.es

[1] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys.Rev. **D86**, 010001 (2012).
[2] D. Forero, M. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys.Rev. **D86**, 073012 (2012), arXiv:1205.4018.
[3] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 2227 (1980).
[4] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D25**, 2951 (1982).
[5] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. **B67**, 421 (1977).
[6] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky (1979), print-80-0576 (CERN).
[7] T. Yanagida (KEK lectures, 1979), ed. O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, 1979).
[8] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 91 (1980).
[9] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, and J. W. F. Valle, Advances in High Energy Physics.,**2014** ,1404.3751.
[10] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963).
[11] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
[12] F. F. Deppisch, N. Desai, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys.Rev. **D89**, 051302(R) (2014)
[13] J. Aguilar-Saavedra, F. Deppisch, O. Kittel, and J. Valle, Phys.Rev. **D85**, 091301 (2012)
[14] S. Das, F. Deppisch, O. Kittel, and J. Valle, Phys.Rev. **D86**, 055006 (2012)
[15] Y. Chikashige, R. N. Mohapatra, and R. D. Peccei, Phys. Lett. **B98**, 265 (1981).
[16] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D25**, 774 (1982).
[17] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys.Rev. **D12**, 1502 (1975).
[18] M. Singer, J. Valle, and J. Schechter, Phys.Rev. **D22**, 738 (1980).
[19] J. W. F. Valle and M. Singer, Phys. Rev. **D28**, 540 (1983).
[20] R. Foot *et al.*, Phys.Rev. **D50**, 34 (1994)
[21] P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2889 (1992).
[22] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys.Rev. **D46**, 410 (1992)
[23] H. N. Long, Phys.Rev. **D53**, 437 (1996)
[24] M. Tully and G. C. Joshi, Phys.Rev. **D64**, 011301 (2001)
[25] P. V. Dong, H. T. Hung and T. D. Tham, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 115003 (2013).
[26] R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D34**, 1642 (1986).
[27] J. Bernabeu et al., Phys. Lett. **B187**, 303 (1987).
[28] M. Dittmar, A. Santamaria, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and J. W. F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. **B332**, 1 (1990).
[29] G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. **B225**, 385 (1989).
[30] N. Rius and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. **B246**, 249 (1990).
[31] E. Akhmedov et al., Phys. Lett. **B368**, 270 (1996) and Phys. Rev. **D53**, 2752 (1996)
[32] M. Malinsky, J. C. Romao, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 161801 (2005).
[33] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys.Rev. **D6**, 429 (1972).
[34] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio and J. Girrbach, JHEP **1402**, 112 (2014) [arXiv:1311.6729 [hep-ph], arXiv:1311.6729].